

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Solid State Chemistry 178 (2005) 2643-2646

JOURNAL OF SOLID STATE CHEMISTRY

www.elsevier.com/locate/jssc

Assignment of $4f \rightarrow 5d$ excitation spectra of Nd³⁺ in crystals using the simple model

Shangda Xia^{a,b}, Chang-Kui Duan^{a,*}, Quan Deng^a, Gang Ruan^a

^aInstitute of Modern Physics, Chongqing University of Post and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, PR China ^bDepartment of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230036, China

> Received 10 April 2005; received in revised form 23 May 2005; accepted 27 May 2005 Available online 7 July 2005

Abstract

The measured low-temperature $(4f)^3 \rightarrow (4f)^2 5d$ excitation spectra of Nd³⁺ doped in crystals YPO₄, CaF₄ and LiYF₄ [van Pieterson et al., Phys. Rev. B **65** (2002) 045113] are assigned and analyzed using the simple model proposed by Duan et al. [Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002) 155108] and Ning et al. [J. Alloy. Compd. 366 (2004) 34]. The agreement between theoretical and observed energies and intensities are acceptable, and at the same time the spectra are assigned in more detail. (C) 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

PACS: 78.55.-m; 78.40.Pg; 42.70.-a; 42.62.Fi; 71.20.Eh

Keywords: 4f-5d transition; Excitation spectrum; The simple model; Nd³⁺ ion

1. Introduction

Recently, due to the need for new ultraviolet (uv) and vacuum-ultraviolet (vuv) phosphors, scintilator materials, and lasers, etc., and with the accessibility of uv and vuv regions by synchrotron radiation, there have been increased interest and efforts in the study of the highenergy $(4f)^n \leftrightarrow (4f)^{n-1}5d$, i.e., $4f \leftrightarrow 5d$, absorption and emission of rare-earth ions [1-6]. Optical spectra due to 4f-5d transitions are quite different from those due to 4f-4f transitions: it is well known that 4f-4f transitions consist of many zero-phonon lines since 4f orbitals are very localized and interact only weakly with the lattice, but 5d orbitals are much more extended and interact more strongly with the lattice than 4f orbitals and hence $4f \leftrightarrow 5d$ transitions consist of both zero-phonon lines and broad vibronic bands which vary dramatically with the host lattice, and are difficult to analyze.

*Corresponding author. Fax: +862362471434.

E-mail address: duanck@cqupt.edu.cn (C.-K. Duan).

There has been a theoretical scheme to calculate the $4f \leftrightarrow 5d$ transition [5,6]. However, it needs values of as many as 30 parameters for energy calculation. Furthermore, since the energy eigenstates are expanded with the basis $|(4f)^{N-1}\eta_f S_f L_f, 5ds_d d, SLJM\rangle$, the mixing of quantum numbers $\eta_f S_f L_f$ and SLJ (in addition to M) are, in general, quite strong, making it very difficult to interpret the $4f^{N-1}5d$ energy eigenstates and the $4f \leftrightarrow 5d$ transition peaks.

In the past 2 years, Duan and co-workers [7,8] developed a simple model to give a concise label of energy eigenstates of $4f^{N-1}5d$ and a clear interpretation of $4f \leftrightarrow 5d$ transitions by including only the main interactions in the $4f^{N-1}5d$ configuration. The model uses 2–3 parameters to estimate the energies of pure electronic transitions between these eigenstates (hereafter referred to as zero-phonon lines), and gives selection rules for $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transitions based on some quantum numbers of the initial and final states. The model was successfully applied to excitation spectra of Eu²⁺ and Sm³⁺. In 2004, Ning et al. [9] extended the

^{0022-4596/}\$ - see front matter O 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2005.05.032

)

above model by giving an explicit expression for the 4f-5d transition line strength, so that the relative line strengths can be evaluated for $4f \leftrightarrow 5d$ transitions with the initial or/and final states differ by any quantum numbers. The extended model was successfully applied to give a semi-quantitative explanation of $5d \rightarrow 4f$ emission spectrum of Nd³⁺:YPO₄.

In this paper, we use the extended version of the simple model [9] to understand the structure of the $(4f)^3 \rightarrow (4f)^2 5d$ low-temperature excitation spectra [5] of Nd³⁺ doped in CaF₂, LiYF₄ and YPO₄ crystals recorded by monitoring the $(4f)^2 5d^1 \rightarrow (4f)^{3\,4}I_J$ emission. We focus on the assignment of bands of 4f-5d transitions based on the position of main zero phonon lines and the total line strengths of these transitions, which is temperature independent under the Condon approximation. For the sake of simplicity and ease of application of the method to exploring related materials, we do not focus on the shape and fine structure of each band.

2. Assignment of the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ excitation spectra

2.1. The eigenvalues of states $|[(4f^{N-1}\eta_f S_f L_f, s_d)SL_f]J; \Gamma_d\rangle$

For Nd³⁺, N = 3, and the low-energy terms of $(4f)^2$ are $\eta_f S_f L_f = {}^{3}H$ and ${}^{3}F$. So the spin allowed $4f \rightarrow 5d$ excitations from the ground multiplet $(4f)^{3} {}^{4}I_{9/2}$ can reach ${}^{4}H_J\Gamma_d$ (J = 7/2, 9/2, 11/2, 13/2) and ${}^{4}F_J\Gamma_d$ (J = 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, 9/2) multiplets.

As is presented in Eq. (7) of Ref. [9], the energy of $(4f)^2 5d$ multiplets are:

$$E([(4f^{N-1}\eta_f S_f L_f, s_d)SL_f]J; \Gamma_d)$$

= $E_0(4f^{N-1}\eta_f S_f L_f; \Gamma_d)$
- $(1/2)J_{ex}[S(S+1) - S_f(S_f+1) - s_d(s_d+1)]$
+ $(1/2)\lambda_{\eta_f S_f L_f, S}[J(J+1) - S(S+1)]$
- $L_f(L_f+1)],$ (1)

where the second term on the right-hand side gives the splitting between high-spin $S_h = S_f + 1/2$ and low-spin $S_l = S_f - 1/2$ terms, which is irrelevant to the observed splitting here, and the third term gives the spin-orbital splitting caused by $H_{so}(f)$, i.e., make the energies *J*-dependent for ${}^4H_J\Gamma_d$ and ${}^4F_J\Gamma_d$.

As shown in Ref. [9], we have $\lambda_{\eta_f S_f L_f, S} = [2 - (2S + 1)/(2S_f + 1)]\lambda_{\eta_f S_f L_f}$, where $\lambda_{\eta_f S_f L_f} = \xi_{4f}/2S_f$. So $\lambda_{3_{H,3/2}} = (2 - 4/3)(\xi_{4f}/2) = \xi_{4f}/3 = \lambda_{3_{F,2/3}}$. Table II of Ref. [5] lists the values for ξ_{4f} for Nd³⁺ ions, i.e., 878 cm⁻¹ in YPO₄, and 885.3 cm⁻¹ in CaF₂ and LiYF₄. Here we use a single value $\xi_{4f} = 882 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for all the three hosts to simplify the analysis. We get the values of the third term of (1) for ${}^{4}H_{J}\Gamma_{d}$ as follows: -2646 cm^{-1} , -1323 cm^{-1} ,

+294 cm⁻¹, +2205 cm⁻¹ for J = 7/2, 9/2, 11/2, 13/2, respectively, i.e., the energies of J = 9/2, 11/2. 13/2 are separated from that of J = 7/2 by 1323 cm⁻¹, 2940 cm⁻¹ and 4851 cm⁻¹, respectively. In the same way, we calculated the energies and splittings for ${}^{4}F_{J}\Gamma_{d}$ multiplets.

2.2. Relative line strengths of the $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transitions

To calculate the line strength of $4f \rightarrow 5d$ electric dipole transitions, we use the following formula originally given in Ref. [9]:

$$I(4f^{N}\eta'S'L'J' \leftrightarrow [(4f^{N-1}\eta_{f}S_{f}L_{f}, s_{d})SL_{f}]J; \Gamma_{d}) = \delta_{SS'} \frac{N[\Gamma_{d}]}{35} [(2J'+1)(2J+1)(2L'+1)] \cdot |\langle f|r|d \rangle|^{2} \times \left\{ \begin{array}{c} L' & L_{f} & 3\\ J & J' & S \end{array} \right\}^{2} \cdot |\langle 4f^{N}\eta'S'L'\{|4f^{N-1}\eta_{f}S_{f}L_{f} \rangle|^{2}.$$

$$(2)$$

For the transitions studied here, the values of N, S', L', J', $\langle f | r | d \rangle$ are all fixed, and $[\Gamma_d] = [\Gamma_{d1}]$, the degeneracy of the lowest 5*d* crystal-field single electron level, is also fixed. Therefore, we may write the relative line strength as

$$I' = (2J+1) \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} L' & L_f & 3\\ J & J' & S \end{array} \right\}^2 \\ \times |\langle 4f^N \eta' S' L' \{ |4f^{N-1} \eta_f S_f L_f \rangle |^2. \tag{3}$$

For the transitions to ${}^{4}H_{J}\Gamma_{d}$, we have $\eta_{f}S_{f}L_{f} = {}^{3}H$, and since $|\langle 4f^{3} {}^{4}I | 4f^{2} {}^{3}H \rangle|^{2} = 7/9$, we obtain I' = 0.04200, 0.01615, 0.00164, 0.00004 for J = 7/2, 9/2, 11/2, 13/2, respectively; for transitions to ${}^{4}F_{J}\Gamma_{d}$, $\eta_{f}S_{f}L_{f} = {}^{3}F$, since $|\langle 4f^{3} {}^{4}I | [4f^{2} {}^{3}F \rangle|^{2} = 2/9$, we have I' = 0.01270, 0.00390, 0.00048, 0.00002, for J = 3/2, 5/2, 7/2, and 9/2, respectively.

2.3. Assignment of the excitation spectrum of Nd^{3+} : YPO₄

The energies of zero-phonon lines and relative line strengths obtained above using the simple model are given together with the reported excitation spectrum [5] of Nd³⁺ doped in YPO₄ in Fig. 1. The measured separation between A_1 and A_2 is 3200 cm⁻¹, which is comparable with the calculated separation 2940 cm⁻¹ between ${}^{4}H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$ and ${}^{4}H_{11/2}\Gamma_{d1}$. This establishes the assignment of A_1 to ${}^{4}H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$ and A_2 to ${}^{4}H_{11/2}\Gamma_{d1}$. Then ${}^{4}H_{9/2}\Gamma_{d1}$ can be assigned to a strong peak between A_1 and A_2 , say, A' at 182.9 nm. Additionally, by referring to the calculated relative line strength I', we assign the observed strong peak A_3 to ${}^{4}F_{3/2}\Gamma_{d1}$, whose third term in Eq. (1) is -1674 cm⁻¹, 882 cm⁻¹ larger than that for ${}^{4}H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$ and ${}^{4}H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$, the energy difference,

 $\Delta E_0 = E_0(4f^{2\,3}F; \Gamma_{d1}) - E_0(4f^{2\,3}H; \Gamma_{d1}), \text{ is approximately } 5700-882 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1} = 4818 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1} \text{ by using } E(A_3) - E(A_1) = 5700 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}.$ By referring to the Dieke diagram, we have $E(4f^{2\,3}F_2) - E(4f^{2\,3}H_4) = 4880 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for ion Pr^{3+} doped in LaCl₃, which is close to $4818 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Therefore, it is reasonable to assign the peak A_3 to ${}^4F_{3/2}\Gamma_{d1}$. Finally, the energy of ${}^4F_{5/2}\Gamma_{d1}$ is expected to be $(\xi_{4f}/6)[(-7) - (-12)] = 735 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ higher than the energy of A_3 , which probably corresponds to peak A'_3 at 166.9 nm. As mentioned in Ref. [5], the bands B and C are transitions to ${}^4H_J\Gamma_{d2}$ and ${}^4H_J\Gamma_{d3}$, etc., which lose fine structure due to ionization to the conduction band of the host. The assignments and calculated results are summarized in Table 1.

2.4. The excitation spectra of Nd^{3+} doped in $LiYF_4$ and CaF_2

The zero-phonon lines and relative line strengths for LiYF₄:Nd³⁺ are calculated with the simple model and plotted in Fig. 2 together with the experimental spectrum given in Ref. [5]. The experimental spectrum for LiYF₄:Nd³⁺ has the same properties as the spectrum for YPO₄:Nd³⁺. However, the peaks corresponding to transitions ${}^{4}H_{9/2}\Gamma_{d1}$, and ${}^{4}H_{11/2}\Gamma_{d1}$ were not marked or assigned originally, which we assign as A'_{1} at 173.2 nm and A''_{1} at 168.2 nm, respectively, while the peak marked to be A_2 corresponds to ${}^{4}F_{3/2}\Gamma_{d1}$, which is 6000 cm⁻¹ (compared to 5700 cm⁻¹ in YPO₄) higher than ${}^{4}H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$.



Fig. 2. Calculated zero-phonon lines (shown with vertical lines) and relative line strengths (in proportional to their height) calculated with the simple model and measured excitation spectrum [5] of Nd^{3+} doped in LiYF₄.



Fig. 1. Zero-phonon lines (shown with vertical lines) and relative line strengths (in proportional to their height) calculated with the simple model and measured excitation spectrum [5] of Nd^{3+} doped in YPO₄.

Table 1

Assignments of the 4f-5d excitation spectrum of YPO₄ doped with 1% Nd³⁺ recorded at 6 K by monitoring the $4f^25d^1 \rightarrow {}^4I_J$ emission at 197 nm

Final state	Energy of Z.P.L.			Relative line strength	
	Calc.		Obs.	Calc.	Obs.
	(cm^{-1})	(nm)	(nm)	(arb. unit)	
${}^{4}H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	53 248	187.8	$187.8(A_1)$	4200	Strongest
${}^{4}H_{9/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	54 571	183.3	182.9[A']	1615	Strong
${}^{4}H_{11/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	56 188	178.0	$177.2(A_2)$	164	Very weak
${}^{4}H_{13/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	58 099	172.1	Not obs.	4	Not obs.
${}^{4}F_{3/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	58 948	169.6	$169.7(A_3)$	1270	Strong
${}^{4}F_{5/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	59 683	167.6	$167.9[A'_3]$	390	Medium
${}^{4}F_{7/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	60712	164.7		48	
${}^4F_{9/2}\Gamma_{d1}$	62 035	161.2	Buried	2	Buried

Notes: Parameter values used are $E_0[4f^{23}H_2; \Gamma_{d1}] = 57800 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $J_{ex} = 3812 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $E_0[4f^{23}F_2; \Gamma_{d1}] = 62618 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $\lambda_{3H3/2} = \lambda_{3F3/2} = \xi_{4f}/3 = 294 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; Z.P.L. means zero-phonon line; Calc. means calculated result, and Obs. means observed result. [A'] and [A'_3] are labelled and assigned by this work.



Fig. 3. Calculated zero-phonon lines (shown with vertical lines) and relative line strengths (in proportional to their height) calculated with the simple model and measured excitation spectrum [5] of Nd^{3+} doped in CaF₂.

Thus the shoulder A'_2 at 157.5 nm can be the transition to ${}^4F_{5/2}\Gamma_{d1}$. Noticeably, the unexplained peak *F* can now be assigned to ${}^4F_{3/2}\Gamma_{d2}$, since the peak B was considered to be of $4f \rightarrow 5d$ transition to the second 5dcrystal-field level, i.e., ${}^4H_{7/2}\Gamma_{d2}$ according to the simple model and the distance *F*-*B* is 6000 cm⁻¹.

The calculated zero-phonon lines and relative line strengths for $CaF_2:Nd^{3+}$ are plotted in Fig. 3 together with the experimental spectrum given in Ref. [5]. The experimental spectrum has also the same property as that for $YPO_4:Nd^{3+}$, except that there is roughly only two bands corresponding to two crystal-field levels of 5delectron of Nd³⁺ at cubic lattice site. Besides, the distance $A_2 - A_1$ is 4100 cm^{-1} , instead of 3200 cm^{-1} in YPO₄, which is likely due to stronger spin-orbital effects of the *f*-electrons. Also, the value 4100 cm^{-1} is probably overestimated, since if the zero-phonon line A'_2 on the right side of the peak A_2 were used to calculate the distance $A_2 - A_1$, the value is reduced to be about 3600 cm^{-1} . The distance $A_3 - A_1$ is 6500 cm^{-1} instead of $5700 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ in YPO₄, which is likely due to stronger 4f-4f interaction, i.e., bigger ΔE_0 in CaF₂ than in YPO₄. In the theoretical calculation of Ref. [5], Variations of the strength of these interactions with hosts were ignored.

Taking the values of $G^i(fd)$ with i = 1, 3, 5 from the Table II of Ref. [5], and using the Eq. (3) of Ref. [9], we obtain $J_{ex} = 3815 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the three hosts. By referring to the observed energies of zero-phonon line A_1 in them, we have the following values of E_0 :

$$E_0[4f^{2\,3}H_2;\Gamma_{d1}] = 57800, 59603, \text{ and } 60732 \text{ cm}^{-1};$$

$$E_0[4f^{2\,3}F_2;\Gamma_{d1}] = 62618, 65221, \text{ and } 65850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$$

for the hosts YPO₄, CaF₂, and LiYF₄, respectively.

3. Conclusion

The simple model was originally proposed under the expection that it should work at least for 4f - 5dtransitions of doped rare-earth ions with near half-filled 4f shell. It was originally applied to Sm^{3+} and Eu^{2+} ions [8] and recently to Eu^{3+} and Tb^{3+} [10], where the 4f shell is nearly half-filled. Here we have applied it to the Nd^{3+} , with only two 4f electrons for the excited configuration. The zero-phonon lines and relative line strengths of 4f - 5d excitation transition of Nd³⁺ doped in YPO₄, LiYF₄ and CaF₂ have been calculated using the simple model [9] and compared to available experimental data. It can be seen that the simple model works well for the 4f - 5d excitation spectra of Nd³⁺ doped in all the three hosts and is very useful in assigning and understanding the peaks in those spectra. This result, together with previous results [8,10], shows that the model can be applied to excited configurations with any rare-earth ions with N - 1 from 2 to 7 where experimental results are available. For rareearth ions with N-1 from 8 to 13, our recent unpublished results show that the model need revision to take into account the effect of weaker exchange interaction than 4f spin-orbit interaction, and will be presented elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the support of this work by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under research Grants No. 10274079 (S.D.X.) and 10404040 (C.K.D.).

References

- T. Szczurek, M. Schlesinger, in: B. Jezowska-Trzebiatowska, J. Legendziewicz, W. Strek (Eds.), Rare Earths Spectroscopy, World Scientific, Singapore, 1985.
- [2] M.F. Reid, L. van Pieterson, R.T. Wegh, A. Meijerink, Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 14744.
- [3] M. Marsman, J. Anderiessen, C.W. van Eijk, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) 16477.
- [4] R.T. Wegh, A. Meijerink, R.J. Lamminmaki, J. Holsa, J. Lumin. 87–89 (2000) 1002.
- [5] L. van Pieterson, M.F. Reid, R.T. Wegh, S. Soverna, A. Meijerink, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 045113.
- [6] L. van Pieterson, M.F. Reid, G.W. Burdick, A. Meijerink, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 045114.
- [7] C.K. Duan, M.F. Reid, G.W. Burdick, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 155108.
- [8] C.K. Duan, M.F. Reid, J. Solid State Chem. 171 (2003) 299.
- [9] L.X. Ning, C.K. Duan, S. Xia, M.F. Reid, P.A. Tanner, J. Alloy. Compd. 366 (2004) 34.
- [10] S.D. Xia, C.K. Duan, T. Chen, Sci. China G, 2005, submitted for publication.